

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,650	10/659,650 09/10/2003		Steven P. Hergott	P06667US0-169G	1820	
34082	7590	04/22/2004		EXAMINER		
		FIRM P.L.C.	NELSON, JUDITH A			
	L SQUAR UST, SUI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	DES MOINES, IA 50309-2350			3644		
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	10/659,650		HERGOTT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Judith A. Ne		3644				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH THE   - External afternal	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  ' CFR 1.136(a). In no eventation.  ys, a reply within the statutor, period will apply and will by statute. cause the application.	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>10 September 20</u>	<u>03</u> .					
2a)[☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is no	n-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by							
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmer	nt(s)							
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/659,650

Art Unit: 3644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,585,580 in view of U.S. Patent 4,417,368, issued to Washburn. Applicants' previous patent teaches in claims 1 and 3 of a method and apparatus for stuffing natural casings with a food emulsion, comprising placing a hollow natural casing on a stuffing tube

Application/Control Number: 10/659,650

Art Unit: 3644

having a meat emulsion discharge end and placing a follower (collar) against an upstream end of the natural casing; the reference however fails to teach placing or the presence of a hollow conical shaped (funnel) restrictor on the stuffing tube so as to decrease the size of the natural casing as it slides over the stuffing tube.

Washburn discloses of a stuffing machine comprising a restrictor in the shape of a funnel (40), so as to guide the newly formed casing over the stuffing tube and inferably resulting in the diameter of the casings being formed decreasingly less than if the guide (40) weren't there. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Applicants' previous patent in view of Washburn, since Washburn teaches the funnel as being a guide to assist the casings along the stuffing tube.

## **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 6,669,545.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judith A. Nelson whose telephone number is (703) 305-0984. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur. 9:00 a.m. - 6:30 p.m., alt. Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles T. Jordan can be reached on 306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,650

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Judith A. Nelson

Art Unit 3644